Dear Jim, re DJ Supplement in 75-1996 3/3/77

With this I will be giving you a draft of an affidavit in response by me. It is about 4,000 words long. I got up to do it at 5 and had the draft completed by 9, not too bad when I'm exactly a month from my 64th birthday.

It is now after 12 and I've not had time to look at it.

I do hope to before I give it to you tomorrow.

Whether or not I can what follows is unchanged.

We really are past the point where we can continue to strive for either legal or literary perfection in these papers we file and farther past the point where you do the retyping.

Edit this and I'll get it retyped or I'll pay Mary or Karen to do it. The important things are getting what has to be done and taking as little time for them as possible. If you agree that this has to be done then please try to limit yourself to

relevance, pertinence
accuracy
comprehensibility
necessary and unnecessary
omissions

All of this you can do by hand and in little time, even if you want to shift the order around. I have structure it so that what I want x in and you say not is at the end and can be x-ed out easily. I do want it also inx case we go up on appeal on this. It then may be a crucial consideration. I believe it is relevant to non-compliance.

X I also want Green to again have to face the omnipresent perjury regardless of how she reacts to forcing it on her. Now it is even more arrogant of both Dugan and the Department and FBI to swear falsely to her. If there is any reaction to this your stontaneous response to Pratt on that was perfect. Repeat it. If it is accepted this time I'll go farther and announce and hold a press conference to repeat the charges where there is no immunity - and dare suit.

If she ignores this it will be in the record and it is not subject to refutation. Were there to be the attempt I'd force the issue further with attachments I have omitted on purpose. Then, with a couple of days of work, I'd have overwhelming proof.

Would you have to do any more than file a short Opposition, a page or less, with this affidavit? I think not.

I do suggest that you consider the possibility that Robinson's outburst last week may reflect the temper of the judges and that the time is over-ripe for confrontation of deliberate false swearings and deliberate withholdings and other stonewallings in these cases because the judges are overwhelmed and overworked by them. If anyone else had the disposition to make such an issue, whether it be the Naders or the Marks and Halperin types, I do not believe anyone is in the position I am in to make an unassailable case.

I also want this in the record in relative short form for the friends of FOIA/PA in the Congress.

Please, once, do it my way. I have been able to live with this compromise and I'm sure not only that you can but with less pressure if you do. So take the first step now and get it over with.

Hastily,